



however, the Commissioner's awards seem inconsistent with the findings on which they are based.

The Sixth District used a form decision for these disfigurement awards. The form employed declares the "scar does not constitute a permanent significant disfigurement." Apparently if the commissioner finds the disfigurement to be significant the word "not" is to be deleted on the form. In these two cases it was not erased. Nonetheless, the commissioner awarded compensation.

It may very well be that the commissioner did intend to consider these disfigurements not to be significant and that the one week award in each case was in error. We cannot tell from the documents submitted. We therefore remand to the Sixth District to clarify the Finding.

The appeal is sustained and the matter is remanded for further proceedings consonant with this opinion.

Commissioners Gerald Kolinsky and Frank Verrilli concur.